

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/026,503	MILICI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Chin	3661	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gary Chin.

(3) _____.

(2) Frank Kozak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the above-identified claims as set forth in the examiner's amendment to overcome the minor 112, second paragraph errors and/or more clearly point out the invention as claimed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)